UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/796,584	03/08/2004	Clark R. Baker JR.	TYHC:0149/FLE (P0409R)	1106
52144 759 FLETCHER YOI	90 02/12/2007 DER (TYCO INTER)	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 692289 HOUSTON, TX 77269-2289			RAMIREZ, JOHN FERNANDO	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3737	
CHARTENED STATISTORY	DEDICT OF DESPONSE	MAIL DATE		
SHORTENED STATUTORY P	EKIOD OF KESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS 02/12/20		02/12/2007	PAPER	

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	•	$\mathcal{W}$
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/796,584	BAKER, CLARK R.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	John F. Ramirez	3737
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI ute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		•
<ul> <li>1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14</li> <li>2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) Th</li> <li>3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under</li> </ul>	nis action is non-final.  Tance except for formal mat	• •
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdreds 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4,6-16 and 18-22 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 5 and 17 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	awn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and according a deplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction.  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the I	ccepted or b) objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeya ection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). I(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in A iority documents have beer au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No  received in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No. 5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/796,584

Art Unit: 3737

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments, see remarks, filed on July 14, 2006, with respect to claims 1-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) have been fully considered and are persuasive. However, upon further consideration, the following new office action is provided in order to expedite the prosecution of this application.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Diab et al. (US 2003/0036689) in view of Swedlow et al. (US 5,662,106) and in further view of Chin et al. (US 6,018,673).

With respect to claims 1-4, 6-16, 18-22, the Diab et al. patent teaches a system for detecting the presence of mixed venous and arterial blood pulsation in tissue, (abstract, paragraph 0019), obtaining a measure of a phase difference between said first and second electromagnetic radiation signals (paragraphs 0389-0391, fig. 25B, elements 694, 692, 690), comparing said measure with a threshold value to form a

Application/Control Number: 10/796,584

Art Unit: 3737

comparison (paragraph 0387, fig. 25B, elements 660, 662, 696); and detecting the presence or absence of venous pulsation using said comparison (paragraphs 0019, 0368). (NOTE: it is well known in the art that the primary cause of noise in transmissive pulse oximetry measurements is motion artifact caused by the movement of venous blood in the finger).

Diab et al. do not disclose indicating the presence of venous pulsation to a caregiver if venous pulsation is present. However, the Swedlow et al. patent teaches an indication of the presence of venous pulsation to a caregiver if venous pulsation is present (see abstract, fig. 1, element 30, and figure 4, col. 5, line 64 - col. 6, line 34).

It would have been obvious for a person of ordinary skill in the art, to modify the system disclosed by Diab et al., with the above discussed enhancements because such modification would provide a more accurate blood oxygen and pulse readings.

## Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 5 and 17 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/796,584 Page 4

Art Unit: 3737

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John F. Ramirez whose telephone number is (571) 272-8685. The examiner can normally be reached on (Mon-Fri) 7:30 - 4:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian L. Casler can be reached on (571) 272-4956. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JFR 01/25/07

EXIAN L. CASLER
SUTTENSORY PATENT EXAMPLER
OF THE 3760